
OAA

# Visual Resource Inventory



TM-0087 1.04.04(570) BLM Manual Handbook 8410-1

# Table of Contents

- I. General Guidance
  - A. Overview
  - B. Implementation Options
  - C. Material Storage
- II. Scenic Quality Evaluation
  - A. Delineating Scenic Quality Rating Units (SQRU's)
  - B. Evaluating Scenic Quality

### III. Sensitivity Level Analysis

- A. Factors to Consider
  - 1. Type of Users
  - 2. Amount of Use
  - 3. Public Interest
  - 4. Adjacent Land Uses
  - 5. Special Areas
  - 6. Other Factors
- B. Delineation of Sensitivity Level Rating Units (SLRU's)
- C. Documentation Requirements
  - 1. Narrative
  - 2. Map Overlay
- D. Completion of Sensitivity Rating

## IV. Distance Zones

- A. Mapping Distance Zones
  - 1. Foreground Middleground Zone
  - 2. Background Zone
  - 3. Seldom-Seen Zone
- B. Coordinating Distance Zones Delineation and Sensitivity Level Analyses

### V. Visual Resource Classes and Objectives

- A. Purposes of Visual Resource Classes
  - 1. Visual Resource Inventory Classes
  - 2. Visual Resource Management Classes
- B. Objectives for Visual Resource Classes
  - 1. Class I Objective
  - 2. Class II Objective
  - 3. Class III Objective
  - .4. Class IV Objective

- C. Rehabilitation Areas
- D. Interim VRM Classes and Objectives

# Illustrations

- · 1. Scenic Quality Explanation of Rating Criteria
- 2. Scenic Quality Inventory and Evaluation Chart
- 3. Scenic Quality Field Inventory Form (Form 8400-1)
- 4. Scenic Quality Rating Summary (Form 8400-5)
- 5. Physiographic Province Map Continental United States
- 6. Physiographic Province Map Alaska
- 7. Scenic Quality Overlay
- 8. Sensitivity Level Rating Sheet (Form 8400-6)
- 9. Sensitivity Level Overlay
- 10. Distance Zone Overlay
- 11. Determining Visual Resource Inventory Classes
- 12. Visual Resource Management Class Overlay

# I. General Guidance.

- A. Overview. The visual resource inventory process provides BLM managers with a means for determining visual values. The inventory consists of a scenic quality evaluation, sensitivity level analysis, and a delineation of distance zones. Based on these three factors, BLM-administered lands are placed into one of four visual resource inventory classes. These inventory classes represent the relative value of the visual resources. Classes I and II being the most valued, Class III representing a moderate value, and Class IV being of least value. The inventory classes provide the basis for considering visual values in the resource management planning (RMP) process. Visual resource management classes are established through the RMP process for all BLM-administered lands (see also Manual 1625.3). During the RMP process, the class boundaries are adjusted as necessary to reflect the resource allocation decisions made in RMP's. Visual management objectives are established for each class. (See Section VB.)
- B. Implementation Options. The detail of the inventory will vary with the visual character of the landscapes being inventoried. For example, the flat, colorless, and barren mancos shale area in southeastern Utah should not be given the same treatment as the rugged and colorful formations of the Colorado River area. Sensitive areas such as those near major highways or communities or adjacent to national parks should be given special treatment. It may be necessary to modify or make adaptions to the inventory system in such places as Alaska where the resource characteristics and the land-use patterns are significantly different from those in the Western States. These adaptations must (1) provide a more cost-effective way to complete a quality inventory, and (2) keep the conceptual framework of the Visual Resource Management (VRM) system intact.
- C. Material Storage. All visual resource inventory rating forms, overlays, slides, and written material should be filed in the Resource Area Office.

- II. Scenic Quality Evaluation. Scenic quality is a measure of the visual appeal of a tract of land. In the visual resource inventory process, public lands are given an A, B, or C rating based on the apparent scenic quality which is determined using seven key factors: landform, vegetation, water, color, adjacent scenery, scarcity, and cultural modifications (see Illustrations 1, 2, 3, and 4). During the rating process, each of these factors are ranked on a comparative basis with similar features within the physiographic province. Use the physiographic provinces as delineated by Fenneman (see Illustrations 5 and 6) to the extent possible. The boundaries of these provinces may be refined to fit local situations. "Ecoregions of the United States" by R. C. Bailey may be helpful in making these refinements. An important premise of the evaluation is that all public lands have scenic value, but areas with the most variety and most harmonious composition have the greatest scenic value. Another important concept is that the evaluation of scenic quality is done in relationship to the natural landscape. This does not mean that man-made features within a landscape necessarily detract from the scenic value. Man-made features that compliment the natural landscape may enhance the scenic value. Evaluations should avoid any bias against man-made modification to natural landscape.
- A. Delineating Scenic Quality Rating Units (SQRU's). The planning area is subdivided into scenic quality rating units for rating purposes. Rating areas are delineated on a basis of: like physiographic characteristics; similar visual patterns, texture, color, variety, etc.; and areas which have similar impacts from man-made modifications. The size of SQRU's may vary from several thousand acres to 100 or less acres, depending on the homogeneity of the landscape features and the detail desired in the inventory. Normally, more detailed attention will be given to highly scenic areas or areas of known high sensitivity. Map and number each SQRU on an overlay as shown in illustration 7.
- B. Evaluating Scenic Quality. It is recommended that an interdisciplinary team do the evaluations. Ideally, one team member should have an environmental design arts background. All participants should have an understanding of the visual resource inventory system and be familiar with the areas to be evaluated. Evaluate each SQRU by observing the area from several important viewpoints. Scores should reflect the evaluator's overall impression of the area. After evaluating all the SQRU's, show the scenic ratings on the scenic quality overlay (see Illustration 7). Record the ratings on the Scenic Quality Rating Summary Bureau Form 8400-5 (see Illustration 4). Bureau Form 8400-1 (see Illustration 3) may be used as a worksheet for completing each scenic quality evaluation. A photographic record should be maintained for the area. Photographs and completed evaluation forms should be filed for future reference.

III. Sensitivity Level Analysis. Sensitivity levels are a measure of public concern for scenic quality. Public lands are assigned high, medium, or low sensitivity levels by analyzing the various indicators of public concern.

# A. Factors to Consider.

- l. Type of Users. Visual sensitivity will vary with the type of users. Recreational sightseers may be highly sensitive to any changes in visual quality, whereas workers who pass through the area on a regular basis may not be as sensitive to change.
- 2. Amount of Use. Areas seen and used by large numbers of people are potentially more sensitive. Protection of visual values usually becomes more important as the number of viewers increase.
- 3. Public Interest. The visual quality of an area may be of concern to local, State, or National groups. Indicators of this concern are usually expressed in public meetings, letters, newspaper or magazine articles, newsletters, land-use plans, etc. Public controversy created in response to proposed activities that would change the landscape character should also be considered.
- 4. Adjacent Land Uses. The interrelationship with land uses in adjacent lands can effect the visual sensitivity of an area. For example, an area within the viewshed of a residential area may be very sensitive, whereas an area surrounded by commercially developed lands may not be visually sensitive.
- 5. Special Areas. Management objectives for special areas such as Natural Areas, Wilderness Areas or Wilderness Study Areas, Wild and Scenic Rivers, Scenic Areas, Scenic Roads or Trails, and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), frequently require special consideration for the protection of the visual values. This does not necessarily mean that these areas are scenic, but rather that one of the management objectives may be to preserve the natural landscape setting. The management objectives for these areas may be used as a basis for assigning sensitivity levels.
- 6. Other Factors. Consider any other information such as research or studies that includes indicators of visual sensitivity.
- B. Delineation of Sensitivity Level Rating Units (SLRU's). There is no standard procedure for delineating SLRU's. The boundaries will depend on the factor that is driving the sensitivity consideration. Consequently, a thorough review of the factors referred to in IIIA should be completed before any attempt is made to delineate SLRU's. Distance zone may also play an important role in identifying the SLRU boundaries.

# C. Documentation Requirements.

- l. Narrative. Prepare a summary statement with the essential facts and rationale to support the conclusions reached on sensitivity levels. The format for presenting this information is optional. As a minimum, the summary data must be entered on Form 8400-6 (see Illustration 8). Backup information used to evaluate each of the factors should be maintained with the inventory record.
- 2. Map Overlay. Prepare an overlay (see Illustration 9) showing the sensitivity rating units and ratings.
- D. Completion of Sensitivity Rating. The instructions for completing the sensitivity ratings are shown in Illustration 8. Ideally, the rating should be done as a team effort involving the Area or District VRM Coordinator, Area Manager, and at least one other staff person. If timing or funding will not allow this approach, the rating may be done by the VRM coordinator and reviewed by the Area Manager. Management should be in agreement on the summary rating for each SLRU.
- IV. Distance Zones. Landscapes are subdivided into 3 distance zones based on relative visibility from travel routes or observation points. The 3 zones are: foreground-middleground, background, seldom seen. The foreground-middleground (fm) zone includes areas seen from highways, rivers, or other viewing locations which are less than 3 to 5 miles away. Seen areas beyond the foreground-middleground zone but usually less than 15 miles away are in the background (bg) zone. Areas not seen as foreground-middleground or background (i.e., hidden from view) are in the seldom-seen (ss) zone.
- A. Mapping Distance Zones. Prepare a distance zone overlay (see Illustration 10) using a base map common to the scenic quality base map. Distance zones are determined in the field by actually traveling along each route and observing the area that can be viewed. If the route is a highway or trail, it should be traveled in both directions, unless it is a one-way route. River use usually is one way; however, if there is up-river travel, it too should be evaluated from both directions. If a vehicle or boat is used for this field survey, it is best to have both a driver and an observer. Distance zones should be mapped for all areas. While they are not necessary to determine classes in Class A scenic areas or for areas with low sensitivity levels, distance zones can provide valuable data during the RMP process when adjustments to VRM classes are made to resolve resource allocation conflicts.

- 1. Foreground-Middleground Zone. This is the area that can be seen from each travel route for a distance of 3 to 5 miles where management activities might be viewed in detail. The outer boundary of this distance zone is defined as the point where the texture and form of individual plants are no longer apparent in the landscape. In some areas, atmospheric conditions can reduce visibility and shorten the distances normally covered by each zone. Also, where the foreground-middleground zone from one travel route overlaps the background from another route, use only the foreground-middleground designation.
- 2. Background Zone. This is the remaining area which can be seen from each travel route to approximately 15 miles. Do not include areas in the background which are so far distant that the only thing discernible is the form or outline. In order to be included within this distance zone, vegetation should be visible at least as patterns of light and dark.
- 3. Seldom-Seen Zone. These are areas that are not visible within the foreground-middleground and background zones and areas beyond the background zones.
- B. Coordinating Distance Zones Delineation and Sensitivity Level
  Analyses. It is recommended that distance zones be delineated before the
  sensitivity analysis is done. The distance zone delineations provide
  valuable information that can be very useful in the sensitivity analysis.
  For example, the foreground-middleground zones are more visible to the
  public and changes are more noticeable and are more likely to trigger
  public concern. Also, the boundaries of the distance zones are very useful
  in helping to establish sensitivity rating units.

# V. Visual Resource Classes and Objectives.

A. Purposes of Visual Resource Classes. Visual resource classes are categories assigned to public lands which serves two purposes: (1) an inventory tool that portrays the relative value of the visual resources, and (2) a management tool that portrays the visual management objectives. There are four classes (I, II, III, and IV).

- 1. Visual Resource Inventory Classes. Visual resource inventory classes are assigned through the inventory process. Class I is assigned to those areas where a management decision has been made previously to maintain a natural landscape. This includes areas such as national wilderness areas, the wild section of national wild and scenic rivers, and other 'congressionally and administratively designated areas where decisions have been made to preserve a natural landscape. Classes II, III, and IV are assigned based on a combination of scenic quality, sensitivity level, and distance zones. This is accomplished by combining the 3 overlays for scenic quality, sensitivity levels, and distance zones and using the guidelines shown in Illustration 11 to assign the proper class. The end product is a visual resource inventory class overlay as shown in Illustration 12. Inventory classes are informational in nature and provide the basis for considering visual values in the RMP process. They do not establish management direction and should not be used as a basis for constraining or limiting surface disturbing activities.
- 2. Visual Resource Management Classes. Visual resource management classes are assigned through RMP's. The assignment of visual management classes is ultimately based on the management decisions made in RMP's. However, visual values must be considered throughout the RMP process. All actions proposed during the RMP process that would result in surface disturbances must consider the importance of the visual values and the impacts the project may have on these values. Management decisions in the RMP must reflect the value of visual resources. In fact, the value of the visual resource may be the driving force for some management decisions. For example, highly scenic areas which need special management attention may be designated as scenic Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and classified as VRM Class I based on the importance of the visual values. A map is developed in each RMP showing the approved visual resource management classes.

# B. Objectives for Visual Resource Classes.

- 1. Class I Objective. The objective of this class is to preserve the existing character of the landscape. This class provides for natural ecological changes; however, it does not preclude very limited management activity. The level of change to the characteristic landscape should be very low and must not attract attention.
- 2. Class II Objective. The objective of this class is to retain the existing character of the landscape. The level of change to the characteristic landscape should be low. Management activities may be seen, but should not attract the attention of the casual observer. Any changes must repeat the basic elements of form, line, color, and texture found in the predominant natural features of the characteristic landscape.

- 3. Class III Objective. The objective of this class is to partially retain the existing character of the landscape. The level of change to the characteristic landscape should be moderate. Management activities may attract attention but should not dominate the view of the casual observer. Changes should repeat the basic elements found in the predominant natural features of the characteristic landscape.
- 4. Class IV Objective. The objective of this class is to provide for management activities which require major modification of the existing character of the landscape. The level of change to the characteristic landscape can be high. These management activities may dominate the view and be the major focus of viewer attention. However, every attempt should be made to minimize the impact of these activities through careful location, minimal disturbance, and repeating the basic elements.
- C. Rehabilitation Areas. Areas in need of rehabilitation from a visual standpoint should be flagged during the inventory process. The level of rehabilitation will be determined through the RMP process by assigning the VRM class approved for that particular area.
- D. Interim VRM Classes and Objectives. Interim visual management classes are established where a project is proposed and there are no RMP approved VRM objectives. These classes are developed using the guidelines in Section I to V and must conform with the land-use allocations set forth in the RMP which covers the project area. The establishment of interim VRM classes will not require a RMP amendment, unless the project that is driving the evaluation requires one.

Scenic Quality - Explanation of Rating Criteria

# landform

Topography becomes more interesting as it gets steeper or more massive, or more severely or universally sculptured. Outstanding landforms may be monumental, as the Grand Canyon, the Sawtooth Mountain Range in Idaho, the Wrangell Hountain Range in Alaska, or they may be exceedingly artistic and subtle as certain badlands, pinnacles, arches, and other extraordinary formations.

# vegetation

Give primary consideration to the variety of patterns, forms, and textures created by plant life. Consider short-lived displays when they are known to be recurring or spectacular. Consider also smaller scale vegetational features which add striking and intriguing detail elements to the landscape (e.g., gnarled or windbeaten trees, and joshua trees).

# water

That ingredient which adds movement or serenity to a scene. The degree to which water dominates the scene is the primary consideration in selecting the rating score.

# color

Consider the overall color(s) of the basic components of the landscape (e.g., soil, rock, vegetation, etc.) as they appear during seasons or periods of high use. Key factors to use when rating "color" are variety, contrast, and harmony.

# adjacent scenery

Degree to which scenery <u>outside</u> the scenery unit being rated enhances the overall impression of the scenery <u>within</u> the rating unit. The distance which adjacent scenery will influence scenery within the rating unit will normally range from 0-5 miles, depending upon the characteristics of the topography, the vegetative cover, and other such factors. This factor is generally applied to units which would normally rate very low in score, but the influence of the adjacent unit would enhance the visual quality and raise the score.

# scarcity

This factor provides an opportunity to give added importance to one or all of the scenic features that appear to be relatively unique or rare within one physiographic region. There may also be cases where a separate evaluation of each of the key factors does not give a true picture of the overall scenic quality of an area. Often it is a number of not so spectacular elements in the proper combination that produces the most pleasing and memorable scenery - the scarcity factor can be used to recognize this type of area and give it the added emphasis it needs.

# cultural modifications

Cultural modifications in the landform/water, vegetation, and addition of structures should be considered and may detract from the scenery in the form of a negative intrusion or complement or improve the scenic quality of a unit. Rate accordingly.



# Scenic Quality - Inventory and Evaluation Chart

# SCENIC QUALITY INVENTORY AND EVALUATION CHART

#### key factors rating criteria and score High vertical relief Steep canyons, mesas. Low rolling hills. as expressed in pro-minent cliffs, spires foothills, or flat valley bottoms; or buttes, cinder cones, end drumlins; or or massive rock outinteresting erosional few or no patterns or variety interesting crops; or severe surface variation or in size and shape of landscape features landforms; or detail features which are highly eroded formstions including major badiands or dune interesting though syecems; or detail not dominant or features dominant and exceptional. exceptionally acriking and intriguing such as glaciers. landform 1 A variety of vegeta-Some variety of Little or no tive types as vegetation, but only variety or conexpressed in interone or two major trast in esting forms, textures, types. vegetation. and patterns. vegetation 1 Clear and clean Flowing, or still, Absent, or appearing, still, but not dominant present, but not or cascading white water, any of which in the landscape. are a dominant factor in the landscape. water 0 Rich color combina-Some intensity or Subtle color variations, variety or vivid color; or variety in colors and contrast of the tions, contrast, or interest: soil, rock, and wegepleasing contrasts in generally mute the soil, rock. tation, but not tones. vegetation, vater a dominant color 5 1 or snow fields. scenic element. Adjacent scenery Adjacent scenery influence of Adjacent scenery greatly enhances moderately enhances has little or no influence on visual quality. overall visual adjacent quality. overall visual quality. scenery 5 0 One of a kind; or Distinctive, though Interesting somewhat similar to within its or very rare within region. Consistent setting, but others within the region. fairly comon chance for exceptional wildlife or wild- 1/ within the region. scarcity flower viewing, 1 Modifications add Modifications add Modifications add favorably to visual little or no visual variety but are variety while promoting vatiety to the area. very discordant and visual harmony. on soubcrant bas promote strong discordant elements. disharmony. cultural

### INSTRUCTIONS

Purpose: To rate the visual quality of the scenic resource on all BLM - managed lands.

Now to Identify Scenic Value: All Bureau lands have scenic value.

Now to Determine Minimum Suitability: All Bist Lands are rated for econst values. Also rate adjacent or intermingling non-BLM lands within the planning unit.

When to Evaluate Scenic Quality: Rate for scenery under the most critical conditions (i.e., highest user period or season of use, sidelight, proper atmospheric conditions, etc.).

Now to Delineate Rating Areas: Consider the following factors when delineating rating areas.

- 1. Like physiographic characteristics (i.e., land form, vegetation, etc.).
- 2. Similar visual patterns, texture, color, variety, etc.
- ). Areas which have a similar impact from cultural modifications (i.e., roads, historical and other structures, mining operations, or other surface disturbances).

Explanation of Criteria: (See Illustration L)

NOTE: Values for each rating criteria are maximum and minimum scores only. It is also possible to assign scores within these ranges.

# SCENIC QUALITY

A = 19 or more

B = 12-18

C = 11 or less

A rating of greater than 5 can be given but must be supported by written justification.

2

0

-4

modifications

# Scenic Quality Field Inventory Form

Forts	8400-	-1	
/Santa		108	41

UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY FIELD-INVENTORY

Date	1-25 11422	
Distri	er Moab	
Resou	irce Area Grand	
Scenic	c quality rating unit	

024

# 1. Evaluators (names)

Bob Turnwater, Russ Grimes, Pete Jordon

2. LANDSC.	APE CHARACTER (Feature)	
a. LANDFORM/WATER	h VECETIERON	c. STRUCTURE (General)
deeply ent side canyons with vert		
	1 patterns in vege totion	livear.
horizontal i vertical in elice form.	irregular indistinct	rounded vertical
I meandering river		
a provide and greus dominant	dark green in river	light green & aren
deep blue in settling pour	bettom, aren else-	
	where	
α <del>-                                   </del>		
Course	medium grain, sparce	UNEVEN
	and uneven randem	- AEVEN

3. Narrative This solice includes the flat and meanding river but of the Colorado River and the deeply dissected canyons to the North. It differs in landform and vegetation from the surrounding avens. The rock formations and to pography are fairly common in the physiographic province but it is unconment to have a river flowing through this type of land scape. The potash plant which lies in the middle of this area is a major visual intrusion which can be seen from several overlooks and the river.

				opriate Level) * EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
. Landform	5/4	3 -	1	DATA LON OR RATIONALE	SCENIC QUALITY
. Vegetation	-50	32	<del>-</del> -		CLASSIFICATION
Water	(5)	1 3 7	<u> </u>		
Color	(5)	1 3	<del></del>		<b>□</b> A - 19 or more
Adjacent Scenery	514	$\frac{1}{3}$	<del>-i</del> -		<b>!</b>
Scarcity	5+	1/2	_ i	See ablanation on Leasure	☐ B 12-18
Cultural Modification	2	0 2	3)-1		l ·
TOTALS			(-3)	= 20	C - 11 or less

(Instructions on revers



Scenic Quality Field Inventory Form

### INSTRUCTIONS

Following are the instructions for completing the form. The numbers correspond with the item numbers on the form.

- 1. Evaluators. List the names of the persons involved in the rating.
- Landscape Character. Briefly describe the major features and elements in the landscape. Refer to illustrations 4, 5, 6, and 7 of the BLM Handbook 1-8431-1 for guidelines on the terminology to be used to describe the elements.
- Narrative. Briefly describe the general character of the landscape as it relates to the immediate surroundings and to similar landscape features within the physiographic province.
- 4. Scores. Rate the scenic quality using the criteria and guidelines in the BLM Handbook 1-8410-1 Section II. Record the scores by circling the appropriate numbers. If the rating more appropriately falls between the listed numbers, write in the desired number and circle it. For example, if the desired number for "color" falls between 3 and 5, write in the number 4 and circle it. Explain any unusual factors affecting a rating under the "explanation and rationale" column. If more space is needed, continue the explanation on this page. After the ratings are completed total the scores and check the appropriate classification block.

Comments ou 4f-Adjacent Scenry. The high scenic rating of "4" was given to this factor trecause of the high scenic of the surrounding areas that can be seen from within the SORK. These scenic areas include Behindthe-Rocks area, Canyonlands country, and the La Sel mountains.

Scenic Quality Field Inventory Form

### INSTRUCTIONS

Following are the instructions for completing the form. The numbers correspond with the item numbers on the form.

- 1. Evaluators. List the names of the persons involved in the rating.
- Landscape Character. Briefly describe the major features and elements in the landscape. Refer to illustrations 4, 5, 6, and 7 of the BLM Handbook 1-8431-1 for guidelines on the terminology to be used to describe the elements.
- Narrative. Briefly describe the general character of the landscape as it relates to the immediate surroundings and to similar landscape features within the physiographic province.
- 4. Scores. Rate the scenic quality using the criteria and guidelines in the BLM Handbook 1-8410-1 Section II. Record the scores by circling the appropriate numbers. If the rating more appropriately falls between the listed numbers, write in the desired number and circle it. For example, if the desired number for "color" falls between 3 and 5, write in the number 4 and circle it. Explain any unusual factors affecting a rating under the "explanation and rationale" column. If more space is needed, continue the explanation on this page. After the ratings are completed total the scores and check the appropriate classification block.

Comments ou 4f-Adjacent Sceney. The high scenic rating of "4" was given to this factor tecanse of the high scenic of the surrounding areas that can be seen from within the SORK. These scenic areas include Behindthe-Rocks area, Canyonlands country, and the La Sel mountains.

# Scenic Quality Rating Summary

Form 8400-5 (May 1984)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Date Aug. 16, 1985

District Mcab

Resource Area Grand

SCENIC QUALITY RATING SUMMARY

1. Evaluators (names)

Bob Tumwater, Russ Grimes, Pete Jordon


	1	1							
() Landform	C Vegeution	(F) Water	(5) Color	Adjacent Scenery	3 Scarcity	S Mudification	3 Tutal Score	Scenic Quality	EXPLANATION (11)
3	4	5	4	2	2	0	20	A	colorful waterway
3	1	0	2	3	Z.	0	"	ے	rolling hills, colorless, little veg.
2	/	0	2	3	2	0	10	2	flat, colorless, barren
4	3	4	4	3	1	0	19	A	Water, scaric cliffs, & interesting lag.
4	3	0	4	4	3	0	18	B	scenic cliffs
/	/	0	Z	2	2	0	٦	ے	flat, colorless, borren
4	4	5	4	3	Z	0	22	A	water, riverside veq., colorful cliff
3	3	0	3	3	3	0	15	B	good mixture of color, topo., i veg.
3	2	0	2	2	2	0	11	2	rugged but otherwise monotonous
1	2	0	2	3	2	0	10.	2	monutonous but good view of NA
	0 3 3 2 4 4 / 4 3 3	3 4 1 1 3 3 1 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	CO (CO) (4)  3 4 5  3 1 0  2 1 0  4 3 0  1 4 5  3 1 0  3 4 5  4 3 0  1 4 5  3 2 0	CD (CD (4) (5) (5) (4) (5) (4) (5) (4) (5) (4) (5) (4) (5) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	CD (CD (4) (5) (6)  3 4 5 4 2  3 1 0 2 3  4 3 4 4 3  4 3 0 4 4  1 1 0 2 2  4 4 5 4 3  4 3 0 4 4  1 1 5 4 3  3 3 0 3 3  3 2 0 2 2	C) (1) (4) (5) (6) (7)  3 4 5 4 2 2  3 1 0 2 3 2  4 3 4 4 3 1  4 3 0 4 4 3  1 1 0 2 2  4 4 5 4 3  2 1 3 0 2 2  3 3 0 3 3  3 2 0 2 2	3     4     5     4     2     2     0       3     1     0     2     3     2     0       2     1     0     2     3     2     0       4     3     4     4     3     1     0       4     3     0     4     4     3     0       1     1     0     2     2     2     0       4     4     5     4     3     2     0       3     3     0     3     3     3     0       3     2     0     2     2     2     0	3 4 5 4 2 2 0 20 3 1 0 2 3 2 0 11 2 1 0 2 3 2 0 10 4 3 4 4 3 1 0 19 4 3 0 4 4 3 0 19 1 1 0 2 2 2 0 8 1 1 0 2 2 2 0 15 3 3 0 3 3 3 0 15 3 2 0 2 2 0 11	1) Property (i) 2

INSTRUCTIONS

Form is used in conjunction with the Scenic Quality Inventory and Evaluation Chart


# 84 VIS TYD RES OURCE INVENTORY

Physi ò gra ď Þ Ħ z Z Ö C 0 t p ļ ø n ρυ Uni П Ä. S t a • ø




Rel. 8-28 1/17/8

Physiographic Province Map - Alaska



Scenic Quality Overlay





# Sensitivity Level Rating Sheet

Form 8400-6 (September (985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Date Ang. 15, 1985

District May

Resource Area Grand

SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET

1. Evaluators (names)

BOOTUMNATOR, Russ Grimes, Pete Jordon

SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING UNIT (1)	(2) Type of	C. Aimunn	Poblic Facres	C Adjacent	Special Areas	Other Factors	Dverall Rating	EXPLANATION (9)
001	#	بن	H	H	#	_	Н	within fla zone of I-70 & U163
002	H	4	m	۷	#	_	#	visible from river & float boat users.
003	4	۷.	7	4	۷	-	4	isolated area with low scaric values
004	4	M	#	M	m	-	μ	f/m zone for State Park entrance road.
								•
						,		·
						-		
							-	
								·
AMPLEMONS ON FRANC	<u></u>							

### Sensitivity Level Rating Sheet

#### INSTRUCTIONS

Steps in the Sensitivity Level Analysis

- 1. Divide the inventory area into logical sensitivity rating units
- 2. Analyze the factors which indicate visual sensitivity
- 3. For each rating unit, rate each factor as high, moderate, or low using the following outline as a general guide:
  - a Type of Users Maintenance of visual quality is

     a major concern for most users High

     a moderate concern for most users Moderate

     a low concern for most users
  - b. Amount of use. Maintenance of visual quality becomes more important as the level of use increases(see table below):
  - high level of use ..... High
- c. Public Interest. Maintenance of visual quality is:

- d. Adjacent Land Uses. Maintenance of visual quality to sustain adjacent land use objectives is:

- slightly important
   Low
   Special Area. Maintenance of visual quality to sustain Special Area management objectives is:
- 4. Determine the over-all sensitivity level for each rating unit. This is a judgmental process which requires a careful analysis of all the above factors. Review the ratings given to each factor and analyze the relationship between factors. A high rating in any one factor does not necessarily mean that the over-all sensitivity level rating should be high. For example, the rating for "type of users" should be involved in this rating process.
- 5. Record the ratings and explanation on the sensitivity level rating sheet.

	TABLE FOR CLAS	SIFYING AMOUNT OF USE	
TYPE AREA	HIGH	MODERATE	Low
Roads & Highways Rivers & Trails Recreation Sites	Greater than 45,000 visits, yr. Greater than 20,000 visits, yr. Greater than 10,000 visitor days, yr.	5,000-45,000 visits/yr. 2,000-20,000 visits/yr. 2,000-10,000 visitor days/yr.	Lesser than 5,000 valus/yr. Lesser than 2,000 valus/yr. Lesser than valuer 2,000 days/yr

### Sensitivity Level Rating Sheet


### INSTRUCTIONS

Steps in the Sensitivity Level Analysis

- 1. Divide the inventory area into logical sensitivity rating units
- 2. Analyze the factors which indicate visual sensitivity
- 3. For each rating unit, rate each factor as high, moderate, or low using the following outline as a general guide:
  - a Type of Users. Maintenance of visual quality is
    - a major concern for most users High - a moderate concern for most users Moderate
  - a low concern for most users . b. Amount of use. Maintenance of visual quality becomes more important as the level of use increases(see table below):
    - high level of use High
- c. Public Interest. Maintenance of visual quality is:
- a major public assue ...... High
  - a moderate public issue . . Moderate
- a minor public issue Low
- d. Adjacent Land Uses. Maintenance of visual quality to sustain adjacent land use objectives is: - very important . .
- High
- slightly important ..... Low
- e. Special Area. Maintenance of visual quality to sustain Special Area management objectives is:
- 4. Determine the over-all sensitivity level for each rating unit. This is a judgmental process which requires a careful analysis of all the above factors. Review the ratings given to each factor and analyze the relationship between factors. A high rating in any one factor does not necessarily mean that the over-all sensitivity level rating should be high. For example, the rating for "type of users" might be high but the "amount of use" might be low. Consequently, the over-all rating could be low or moderate. Management should be involved in this rating process
- 5. Record the ratings and explanation on the sensitivity level rating sheet.

	TABLE FOR CLAS	SIFYING AMOUNT OF USE	
TYPE AREA	HIGH	MODERATE	LOW
Roads & Highways Rivers & Trails Recreasion Sites	Greater than 45,000 visits, yr. Greater than 20,000 visits; yr. Greater than 10,000 visitor days; yr.	5,000-45,000 visits/yr. 2,000-20,000 visits/yr. 2,000-10,000 visitor days/yr.	Lesser than 5,000 visits/yr. Lesser than 2,000 visits/yr. Lesser than visitor 2,000 days/yr

Sensitivity Level Overlay




LEGEND
High
Medium
Low

BIG FLAT SQUAW PARK west planning unit bureau of land management

Order 5 miles 10

BLM MANUAL

Distance Zone Overlay





# Determining Visual Resource Inventory Classes

# A. Basis for Determining Visual Resource Inventory Classes

- I. Class I. Class I is assigned to all special areas where the current management situations requires maintaining a natural environment essentially unaltered by man.
- 2. Classes II, III, and VI. These classes are assigned based on combinations of scenic quality, sensitivity levels, and distance zones as shown in the following matrix:

# Visual Sensitity Levels

			High	·	Ме	dium		Low
Special Areas	l	I	: I	: 1	I:	I	: I	I
	A	II	II	II	II	II	II	II
Scenic	В	II	III	111/17+	III	IY	IV	IV
Quality	C	111	IA	ΙV	IA	IY	ΙY	IA
		f/m	Ь	s/s	f/m	Ь	s/s	s/s

\*if adjacent area is Class III or lower assign Class III, if higher assign class IV

Distance Zones

# B. How to Map Visual Resource Inventory Classes II, III, and IV.

Mapping inventory classes can be cumbersome and time consumming if not done in a systematic manner. Many systems have been developed to do this task. One that has been used effectively is:

Step I: Code each of the 3 overlays as follows:

Scenic Quality	A	В	c
Sensitivity Levels	High	Moderate	Low
Distance Zones	f/m	ь	s/s /

Step 2: Copy the codes from the overlays on to a single new overlay.


Step 3: Delineate the boundaries of the inventory classes on a new overlay using the following information as a guide:

Class II - 4 or more lines (i.e.,

Class III - 3 lines (i.e.

Class IV - 2 lines or less.

Visual Resource Inventory Class Overlay



LEGEND

Class il

Class III

Class IV

BIG FLAT SQUAW PARK west planning unit bureau of land management

BLM MANCAL